

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/783,835 | PACE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sangwoo Ahn | 2166 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Sangwoo Ahn.

 (3) Hosain Alam.

 (2) Micah D. Stolzowitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006
Time: 4:30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

 101 issue, minor informalities and 112 2nd

Claims discussed:

1 - 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Attorney Micah D. Stolowitz (Reg. No. 43,403) about the minor informalities such as tylogical errors, 101 issues, and 112 2nd issues. In addition, claims 7 - 9 are directed to a data structure, and claims 10 and 11 - 16 are directed to a correlation table. Applicant's representative Attorney Micah D. Stolowitz indicated his willingness to cancel these claims 7 - 9, 10 and 11 - 16 without prejudice and pursue prosecution in the future. Applicant's representative authorized Examiner to write Examiner's amendment regarding the aforementioned issues.